BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04854 9281

THE MENTALLY DISORDERED ACCUSED

(Law 336F)

COURSE MATERIALS

Faculty of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry

and

Faculty of Law
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Dr. Richard D. Schneider & Dr. Hy Bloom

2000

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
AUG 15 2000
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THE MENTALLY DISORDERED ACCUSED

(Law 336F)

COURSE MATERIALS

Faculty of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry
and
Faculty of Law
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Dr. Richard D. Schneider & Dr. Hy Bloom

2000

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/mentallydisorder00schn

THE MENTALLY DISORDERED ACCUSED
(Law 336F)

Faculty of Medicine
Department of Psychiatry

and

Faculty of Law
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Dr. Richard D. Schneider & Dr. Hy Bloom

TOPICS

		Page
1)	**Mental Disorder and the Law: Historical Considerations.**	
	R.v.Hadfield, 1800	1
	R.v.Oxford, 1840	39
	Daniel M'Naghten's Case, 1843	55
	An Act for the Safe Custody of Insane Persons Charged with Offences., 1800	62
2)	**Psychiatric Assessments / Remands / Treatment / Opinion Evidence**	
	Working with Lawyers: Bloom	64
	Rogers Criminal Responsibility Assessment Scales: Rogers	70
	R. v. Lenart, 1998	84
	R.v.Abbey, 1982.	136
	Lavallee v. The Queen, 1990	146
	R. v. Mohan, 1994	165
3)	**Pre-Arrest and Police Discretion / Diversion / Judicial Interim Release.**	
	Crown Policy Manual	177
	Diversion of Mentally Disordered Accused: Schneider	187
	Diversion Points of Mentally Disordered Accused: Bloom	189
	Factors Associated with the Diversion of Mentally Disordered Offenders: Davis	190
	Lawrie v. The Queen, 1996	199
	Mental Illness and Judicial Interim Release: Bloom & Butler	206

4) Fitness to Stand Trial.

R. v. Taylor, 1992 209
R. v. Rouse, 1996 219
R.v.. Taylor: A Decision Not in the Best Interests of Some Mentally Ill Accused : Schneider & Bloom 225
Fitness to be Sentenced: Schneider 236
Fitness to Stand Trial: Bloom 247

5) Criminal Responsibility I: Insanity

R.v. Swain, 1991 254
Chaulke v. The Queen, 1990 352
R.v. Oomen, 1994 395
Not Criminally Responsible: Whether or not the accused should avail himself of the defence: Schneider 402
Not Criminally Responsible: Who can raise the defence and under what circumstances: Schneider 404

6) Criminal Responsibility II: Automatism and Diminished Responsibility / Intoxication / Irresistible Impulse.

R.v. Parks, 1992 406
Specific Intent and Intoxication: Bloom & Butler 431
The Defence of Diminished Responsibility in Canadian Criminal Law: Gannage 438

7) Criminal Responsibility III: Ontario Review Board, Other Considerations

Winko v. British Columbia (Forensic Psychiatric Institute) (Supreme Court of Canada, June 17, 1999, File No.25856) 458

8) Sexological / Impulse Issues / Dangerousness / Risk Assessment / Psychiatric Gating

Assessing Risk of Sexual Violence: Guidelines for Clinical Practice: Boer *et al.* 509
A Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments: Webster 517
Understanding the Connection Between Mental Illness and Violence: Hiday 525
Dangerousness: Leong *et al.* 544
Penetanguishene Mental Health Centre v. Stock, 1994 550
Starnaman v. Penetanguishene Mental Health Centre, 1995. 560
Psychiatric Gating: Schneider 568

9) Confidentiality and Privilege.

Schneider 572

Smith v. Jones
(Supreme Court of Canada, March 25, 1999, File No. 26500) 587

10) Psychiatric Issues related to Sentencing / Dangerous Offenders
Sentencing Mentally Ill Accused: Schneider 611
R. v. Currie, 1995 623